

Resolution 2024-13, a resolution of Fairfield Town, Utah, receiving a feasibility study for the Creation of a New School District pursuant to Utah Code § 53g-3-301.4, scheduling a public comment period, scheduling future public hearings, scheduling final action on the creation of a New School District, and related matters

Date May 21, 2024

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of Utah Code § 53G-3-301.4, the City of Saratoga Springs, Eagle Mountain City, and Fairfield Town ("interlocal participants"), with the written support from the Town of Cedar Fort, entered into an interlocal agreement on April 29, 2024 to begin the process for the possible creation of a new school district encompassing the incorporated limits of Saratoga Springs, Eagle Mountain, Fairfield, and Cedar Fort, as well as certain unincorporated areas west of Utah Lake; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Utah Code § 53G-3-301.4(4), on May 1, 2024, the interlocal participants filed a request with the Utah County Clerk to initiate the new school district creation process ("Request"); and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Utah Code § 53G-3-301.4(5), on May 7, 2024, the County Clerk certified the Request; and

WHEREAS, a feasibility study was conducted by MGT Education and Lewis Robertson Burningham to meet the requirements under Utah Code Ann. § 53G-3-301.4(7)(b) ("Feasibility Study"), which studies and/or summaries are attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference; and

**WHEREAS**, the Town Council of Fairfield Town, Utah hereby receives the Feasibility Study pursuant to § 53G-3-301.4(7)(b); and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Utah Code § 53G-3-301.4(8)(a)(i), the Town Council hereby provides for a 45-day public comment period to begin on May 21, 2024 (the date the Feasibility Study is received by the Town Council) and ending on July 5, 2024; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Utah Code § 53G-3-301.4(8)(a)(ii), public hearings with the Town Council are hereby scheduled on **June 12**, **2024**, **and June 26**, **2024**, to consider public comment on the Feasibility Study and recommendations contained therein on the new school district creation; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Utah Code § 53G-3-301.4(8)(b)(i), the Town Council will meet on **July 11**, **2024** to vote on the creation of the new school district and submittal of the matter to a vote by the residents of the new school district boundaries.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the Town Council of Fairfield Town, Utah as follows:

- 1. That the Feasibility Study is hereby received, which is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference;
- 2. That the 45-day public comment period required by Utah Code § 53G-3-301.4(8)(a)(i) shall begin on May 21, 2024, and end on July 5, 2024;
- 3. That public hearings with the Town Council shall be scheduled to be held on **June 12**, **2024**, **and June 26**, **2024**, to consider public comment on the Feasibility Study and recommendations contained therein on the new school district creation;
- 4. That, in accordance with Utah Code § 53G-3-301.4(8)(b), the Town Council shall meet on **July 11, 2024**, to take final action on the creation of the new school district and submitting the matter to the legal voters residing in the boundaries of the new district; and
- 5. That this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon passage.

Passed, adopted, and approved this 21st day of May, 2024.

Fair	tield	Town

Hollie McKinney Mayor

RL Panek Tyler Thomas Michael Weber Richard Cameron yes TT no

yes\_\_\_no\_\_

Attest:

Stephanie Shelley, Town Recorder/Clerk

\* RECORDER

Recorder

SEAL



# **EXHIBIT A**

Feasibility Study





#### **MEMORANDUM**

To: Steve Mumford, Interim City Manager/Community Development Director, Eagle Mountain City

Mark Christensen, City Manager, Saratoga Springs

From: LRB Public Finance Advisors

Date: May 21, 2024

RE: New School District Feasibility Study Findings

Pursuant to Section §53G-3-102(4)(a)(ii), LRB was commissioned to assess the financial viability, the financial impact, and tax impact of the creation of a new school district made up of the municipal boundaries of Saratoga Springs, Eagle Mountain, Cedar Fort, and Fairfield (the New District). This analysis focuses primarily on the impacts of creating a new school district and reviewing the impacts to major funds including the General Fund, Capital Projects Fund and Debt Service Fund. It outlines projections based on reasonable assumptions and available data from Alpine School District (ASD), the New District, the Utah State Board of Education (USBE) and other sources. This report also includes projections regarding start-up costs and the potential for new capital facilities. The financial analysis concludes by outlining the tax burden on property owners within the proposed new school district.

## BASE FINANCIAL ASSUMPTIONS

The enrollment projections developed use FY 2023 projected enrollment growth from ASD as the base. For purposes of determining the projected enrollment, LRB evaluated historic enrollment data from ASD for each City within ASD and applied an annual average growth rate (AAGR) to subsequent years that aligns with those findings.

The ratio of enrollment for each district was used to forecast weighted pupil units (WPUs). The number of WPUs provided to each school district within the State is based on number of students enrolled, number of special education students, and other weighted factors. The forecasted WPUs are calculated based on an historic average of 0.970 WPUs per student (calculated using WPU data from FY 2018 – FY 2024 estimates). WPUs are calculated for each district based on percent enrollment and average WPUs per student.

TABLE 1.1: ENROLLMENT AND WPU PROJECTIONS

	ASI	ASD		New District		ED ASD	NEW	REORGANIZED
FISCAL YEAR	ENROLLMENT	WPUs	ENROLLMENT	WPUs	ENROLLMENT	WPUs	DISTRICT % OF TOTAL	DISTRICT %  OF TOTAL
2023[1]	84,668	81,170	24,184	23,185	60,484	57,985	28.56%	71.44%
2024	84,250	83,939	24,680	24,589	59,570	59,350	29.29%	70.71%
2025	85,252	84,937	25,541	25,447	59,710	59,490	29.96%	70.04%
2026	86,323	86,005	26,433	26,335	59,890	59,669	30.62%	69.38%
2027	87,466	87,144	27,356	27,255	60,111	59,889	31.28%	68.72%
2028	88,682	88,355	28,310	28,206	60,372	60,149	31.92%	68.08%
2029	89,973	89,641	29,299	29,191	60,674	60,450	32.56%	67.44%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>[1]</sup> Projections reflect ASD projections (see Alpine School District Reconfiguration Data, May 8, 2024).

Taxable value is fundamental to projections of future fiscal impact on the New District and the division of assets and liabilities, including debt. Taxable value forms the basis for local revenues, as well as the ability of a district to bond for capital infrastructure. To determine taxable value growth, an estimate of 2.25 percent new growth is applied to the District as a whole based on ASD's FY 2024 Budget projections. Based on tax data for Saratoga

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section §53G-3-307(3)

assumptions, it is estimated that the New District's taxable value will increase from 21 percent of the taxable additional commercial valuation to capture sales leakage occurring in the community. Based on these and both Saratoga Springs and Eagle Mountain have stated that they know they have the potential to develop Commercial growth for goods and services will continue to expand as residential growth continues to expand near future. These include the availability of affordable land for both residential and commercial development. it is believed sustained taxable value growth for Saratoga Springs and Eagle Mountain will be achievable for the percent new growth multiplier, with the Reorganized District at 1.5 percent. There are a number of reasons why Springs and Eagle Mountain, this analysis assumes the New District would experience an estimated eight

TABLE 1.2: FORECASTED TAXABLE VALUE

value in 2025 to 26 percent by 2029.

		%SS'0	%00.8	%SZ"Z	ЯÐАА
% <b>7</b> 8.8 <b>7</b>	%£1.9Z	874,708,890,442	567'775'868'81\$	£/L'6/E'/89'65\$	5079
%ZZ.27	%E7.4Z	E89'7Zt'SE6'Et\$	998'767'887'71\$	875'596'875'85\$	2028
%8S'9L	%Z4.EZ	£86'LZ4'07L'E#\$	978,476,835,51\$	658'754'680'45\$	2027
%£8.77	%L1.22	062'525'757'87\$	177,089,878,21\$	084,202,888,288	2026
%10.67	%66°0Z	250,138,241,542	671'172'197'11\$	181,209,409,42\$	2025
REORGANIZED DISTRICT % OF TOTAL	NEW DISTRICT % OF JOYAL	GSA GENIZED ASD	ИЕМ DISTRICT	<b>GSA</b>	FISCAL YEAR

this report. per pupil, but will correspond with an increase in State revenues, as discussed in the General Fund analysis of for the other district scenarios. The lower taxable value will provide the New District with less local revenues A comparison of the taxable value per student illustrates that the New District is slightly lower than projected

TABLE 1.3: COMPARISON OF TAXABLE VALUE RATIOS (FY 2023)

TV PER STUDENT	% ENBOLLMENT	ENBOLLMENT	JATOT 40 % VT	TOTAL VALUE	
658'165\$	%00 l	899'1⁄8	%00 L	902'687'111'05\$	d≥A
9ZE'90†\$	%67	781,42	%0Z	569'565'978'6\$	New District
Z <del>1</del> 0′999\$	%1 <i>L</i>	<del>1</del> 81'09	%08	170,484,894,071	Reorganized ASD

# **CENERAL FUND ANALYSIS**

education, and nutrition services. and federal funds are earmarked for special purposes such as special education, special programs, vocational through the property taxes collected by the County. State Funds are distributed based on WPU assumptions General Fund is comprised of three major revenue sources: local, state and federal. Local funding is generated The General Fund includes all financial resources necessary for the general operation of the District. The

increased to account for decreased local revenues as illustrated in Table 1.4. than the other scenarios due to a lower taxable value per student. It is anticipated that State funds² will be As a ratio of local revenues per pupil, the New District is projected to receive fewer local revenues per pupil

2 Section §53F-3



**TABLE 1.4: FORECASTED GENERAL FUND REVENUES** 

				<b>V.</b> (4.7)				PER STUD	ENT		128.4
FY	LOCAL REVENUE	STATE REVENUE	STATE ADD- ON	FEDERAL	TOTAL	LOCAL	STATE	STATE ADD- ON	FEDERAL	TOTAL	DIFFERENCE FROM ASD
ASD											
2025	\$202,032,193	\$543,123,050	\$54,167,732	\$34,492,270	\$833,815,246	\$2,370	\$6,371	\$635	\$405	\$9,781	
2026	\$206,550,059	\$567,094,060	\$57,025,900	\$35,624,369	\$866,294,387	\$2,393	\$6,569	\$661	\$413	\$10,035	
2027	\$211,171,815	\$593,397,512	\$60,176,853	\$36,818,045	\$901,564,225	\$2,414	\$6,784	\$688	\$421	\$10,308	
2028	\$215,899,872	\$621,338,693	\$63,543,992	\$38,076,521	\$938,859,078	\$2,435	\$7,006	\$717	\$429	\$10,587	
2029	\$220,736,698	\$651,021,577	\$67,202,125	\$39,403,198	\$978,363,598	\$2,453	\$7,236	\$747	\$438	\$10,874	
NEW D	ISTRICT										
2025	\$40,529,159	\$169,140,763	\$22,076,825	\$10,333,894	\$242,080,641	\$1,587	\$6,622	\$864	\$405	\$9,478	(\$303)
2026	\$43,636,990	\$179,830,052	\$22,685,868	\$10,908,482	\$257,061,390	\$1,651	\$6,803	\$858	\$413	\$9,725	(\$310)
2027	\$46,991,297	\$191,460,802	\$23,383,055	\$11,515,043	\$273,350,197	\$1,718	\$6,999	\$855	\$421	\$9,992	(\$315)
2028	\$50,611,730	\$203,840,753	\$24,069,203	\$12,155,358	\$290,677,043	\$1,788	\$7,200	\$850	\$429	\$10,267	(\$319)
2029	\$54,519,505	\$217,017,897	\$24,760,126	\$12,831,306	\$309,128,835	\$1,861	\$7,407	\$845	\$438	\$10,551	(\$323)
REORG	ANIZED DISTRICT										
2025	\$161,409,036	\$373,991,045	\$20,958,590	\$24,158,376	\$580,517,047	\$2,703	\$6,263	\$351	\$405	\$9,722	(\$58)
2026	\$162,689,100	\$387,270,327	\$22,485,688	\$24,715,888	\$597,161,002	\$2,716	\$6,466	\$375	\$413	\$9,971	(\$65)
2027	\$163,813,425	\$401,940,323	\$24,463,330	\$25,303,002	\$615,520,081	\$2,725	\$6,687	\$407	\$421	\$10,240	(\$68)
2028	\$164,763,660	\$417,498,560	\$26,657,486	\$25,921,163	\$634,840,869	\$2,729	\$6,915	\$442	\$429	\$10,516	(\$71)
2029	\$165,519,848	\$434,000,993	\$29,136,872	\$26,571,892	\$655,229,605	\$2,728	\$7,153	\$480	\$438	\$10,799	(\$75)

General fund expenditures for each scenario have been estimated based on existing ASD expenditures. LRB used historic growth rates, analyzed each expenditure function to determine any duplication of expenditures, researched staffing projections, and apportioned expenditures on a per building basis. These expenditure functions include instruction, student support services, and instructional support services. Other expenditure functions are used based on the percent of education facilities within each district, the percent of full-time equivalent (FTE) employees, or the proportion of total district facilities, including duplicate administrative facilities.

Using the most recent ASD budget estimates as the base (FY 2024), LRB inflated expenditures for subsequent years.<sup>3</sup> LRB also inflated expenditures based on WPU growth. It is anticipated that the creation of a new district could result in duplicated costs of approximately \$2.2M in 2025. The New District is projected to have the lowest per pupil expenditures among the scenarios in the early years. This is a result of the lower ratio of duplicate administrative costs as well as apportioning some costs on a per building and taxable value basis, in which the New District has a lower proportion relative to the District.

TABLE 1.5. GENERAL FUND SUMMARY

YEAR	TOTAL GF REVS	GF REVS PER STUDENT	TOTAL GF EXPENDITURES	GF EXPENDITURES PER STUDENT	NET GF	NET GF PER STUDENT
ASD						
2025	\$833,815,246	\$9,781	\$849,702,675	\$9,967	(\$15,887,429)	(\$186)
2026	\$866,294,387	\$10,035	\$881,120,853	\$10,207	(\$14,826,466)	(\$172)
2027	\$901,564,225	\$10,308	\$913,731,490	\$10,447	(\$12,167,265)	(\$139)
2028	\$938,859,078	\$10,587	\$947,580,697	\$10,685	(\$8,721,619)	(\$98)
2029	\$978,363,598	\$10,874	\$982,716,394	\$10,922	(\$4,352,796)	(\$48)
NEW DISTRICT						
2025	\$242,080,641	\$9,478	\$248,282,830	\$9,721	(\$6,202,189)	(\$243)
2026	\$257,061,390	\$9,725	\$262,603,082	\$9,935	(\$5,541,691)	(\$210)
2027	\$273,350,197	\$9,992	\$277,758,016	\$10,154	(\$4,407,819)	(\$161)
2028	\$290,677,043	\$10,267	\$293,796,703	\$10,378	(\$3,119,661)	(\$110)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See ASD FY2024 Budget, p. 147 for inflationary increases utilized.



NET GF PER STUDENT	49 ™	GF EXPENDITURES PER STUDENT	TOTAL GF EXPENDITURES	GF REVS PER STUDENT	SV38 4D JATOT	ЯАЗХ
(95\$)	(987,249,1\$)	Z09'01\$	121,177,015\$	LSS'01\$	\$88'871'608\$	507
					דאוכד	REORGANIZED DIS
(888\$)	(677,181,249)	011'01\$	967'869'809\$	772/6\$	Z70'Z1S'08S\$	2025
(888\$)	(926'112'82\$)	856,01\$	ZZ6'ZZE'0Z9\$	1/6'6\$	200,161,792	2026
(998\$)	(\$22,010,523)	909'01\$	\$17'085'Z89\$	0 <del>1</del> 2'01\$	180'075'519\$	7202
(755\$)	(6ZZ' <del>77</del> E'0Z\$)	£28,01\$	871'581'559\$	915'01\$	698'01'8'1'89\$	2028
(66Z\$)	(\$18,122,353)	860'11\$	856'156'679\$	664'01\$	\$922,229,605	502

While the New District is projected to have a fund deficit, the New District's high growth in taxable value and enrollment suggests the New District may overcome the General Fund deficit beyond the study period.

## CAPTIAL PROJECTS ANALYSIS

Based on the current tax levies provided from ASD, LRB projected future capital outlay revenues for each scenario. The Capital Projects Fund can be augmented by state support programs titled Enrollment Growth and Foundation Guarantee. Through these funds, districts with a smaller tax base (per pupil) and higher growth can receive additional support revenues. LRB projected these funds using state allocation formulas.

Expenditures are allocated to each district based primarily on the percentage of education buildings within each district, including technical and specialty schools, which are inflated at one percent. Land acquisition, land improvement, building acquisition and construction, and building improvement costs were zeroed out for future projections to prevent a duplication of costs as this fund does not address the bond needs identified in the five-year bond plan. Rather, these capital needs are fully funded within the Debt Service Fund (see **Table** no tax increase within the Capital Projects Fund sre utilized no tax increase within the Capital Projects Fund sre utilized to offset future debt service expense at approximately \$65M.

#### **DEBT SERVICE ANALYSIS**

The majority of the Debt Service Fund revenues come from local property taxes, with a small portion of revenue coming from interest and other categories. The current ASD Debt Service tax rate is 0.001020. As a result of the Debt Service Fund revenue relying on local property tax, the feasibility of a new district will be influenced by the level of debt needed versus the taxable value available to assess the necessary revenues. Thus, the capital facility needs above the capital fund rates combined with each district's taxable value per pupil will likely result in a need to increase the rate necessary for the repayment of debt in the short term within the new school district.

There are three major components included in the analysis of this fund: the allocation of outstanding bonds, new bonding needs as identified by ASD, and start-up costs. Utah Code stipulates the transfer of outstanding debt is determined by calculating the ratio of total taxable value in the year immediately preceding the creation of the New District which is 2024 for the purposes of this analysis. As a result, the New District would be responsible for 20 percent of the outstanding debt. New bonding in this analysis is based on existing ASD recommendations. Last, start-up costs relative to a New District Office, legal fees, moving costs, and computer system costs were also identified. It is important to note that \$12,500,000 in unassigned fund balance from ASD was allocated to each district based on the ratio of enrollment for purposes of funding start-up costs.<sup>4</sup>

4 Section §53G-3-302(4)(b)



TABLE 1.6: DEBT SERVICE SUMMARY

YEAR	AUTHORIZED DEBT	PROPOSED NEW DEBT	TOTAL	OBLIGATION PER STUDENT
ASD				
2025	\$68,255,190	\$37,956,410	\$106,211,600	\$1,246
2026	\$46,585,605	\$37,956,410	\$84,542,015	\$979
2027	\$44,711,305	\$37,956,410	\$82,667,715	\$945
2028	\$39,645,005	\$37,956,410	\$77,601,415	\$875
2029	\$39,652,255	\$37,956,410	\$77,608,665	\$863
New District				
2025	\$13,384,478	\$16,424,394	\$29,808,872	\$1,167
2026	\$9,135,188	\$16,424,394	\$25,559,582	\$967
2027	\$8,767,648	\$16,424,394	\$25,192,042	\$921
2028	\$7,774,174	\$16,424,394	\$24,198,568	\$855
2029	\$7,775,596	\$16,424,394	\$24,199,989	\$826
REORGANIZED DISTRICT				
2025	\$54,870,712	\$17,421,399	\$72,292,111	\$1,211
2026	\$37,450,417	\$17,421,399	\$54,871,816	\$916
2027	\$35,943,657	\$17,421,399	\$53,365,056	\$888
2028	\$31,870,831	\$17,421,399	\$49,292,230	\$816
2029	\$31,876,659	\$17,421,399	\$49,298,059	\$813

## TAX IMPACT

In summary, this analysis combined each fund discussed in this analysis into a comprehensive table based on the tax impact per \$450,000 primary residential home. The tables below show the projected tax rate needed within the three funds analyzed should a district division occur. It is important to note that for the purposes of evaluating impacts, the study assumes a starting period of FY 2025.

TABLE 1.7: NEW DISTRICT GENERAL FUND TAX IMPACT

YEAR	TOTAL GF  EXPENDITURES	TOTAL REVENUES	NET GENERAL FUND	TAXABLE VALUE	Baseline Tax Rate	TAX RATE NEEDED	TAX RATE INCREASE
2025	\$248,282,830	\$242,080,641	(\$6,202,189)	\$11,461,741,149	0.003340	0.003881	0.000541
2026	\$262,603,082	\$257,061,390	(\$5,541,691)	\$12,378,680,441	0.003340	0.003788	0.000448
2027	\$277,758,016	\$273,350,197	(\$4,407,819)	\$13,368,974,876	0.003340	0.003670	0.000330
2028	\$293,796,703	\$290,677,043	(\$3,119,661)	\$14,438,492,866	0.003340	0.003556	0.000216
2029	\$310,771,121	\$309,128,835	(\$1,642,286)	\$15,593,572,295	0.003340	0.003445	0.000105

#### TABLE 1.8: NEW DISTRICT CAPITAL OUTLAY TAX IMPACT

YEAR	CAPITAL OUTLAY  EXPENDS	TOTAL REVENUES	NET CAPITAL OUTLAY	TAXABLE VALUE	BASELINE TAX RATE	TAX RATE NEEDED	TAX RATE INCREASE
2025	\$6,172,567	\$23,448,838	\$17,276,271	\$11,461,741,149	0.001065	0.001065	-
2026	\$6,209,760	\$22,648,117	\$16,438,357	\$12,378,680,441	0.001065	0.001065	-
2027	\$6,247,325	\$23,461,306	\$17,213,981	\$13,368,974,876	0.001065	0.001065	
2028	\$6,285,265	\$24,052,590	\$17,767,325	\$14,438,492,866	0.001065	0.001065	=
2029	\$6,323,585	\$24,600,489	\$18,276,904	\$15,593,572,295	0.001065	0.001065	_

# TABLE 1.9: NEW DISTRICT DEBT SERVICE TAX IMPACT

YEAR	TOTAL DEBT	TAXABLE VALUE	TAX RATE UNDER ASD	TAX RATE NEEDED	TOTAL TAX RATE INCREASE
2025	\$29,808,872	\$11,461,741,149	0.0019450	0.0026007	0.0006557
2026	\$25,559,582	\$12,378,680,441	0.0015140	0.0020648	0.0005508
2027	\$25,192,042	\$13,368,974,876	0.0014480	0.0018844	0.0004364
2028	\$24,198,568	\$14,438,492,866	0.0013290	0.0016760	0.0003470
2029	\$24,199,989	\$15,593,572,295	0.0013000	0.0015519	0.0002519



decrease over time. reasonable to assume it may continue to household decreases annually and is However, as shown in Table 1.10, the tax per costs, start-up costs, and new capital needs. several factors including duplicate O&M as shown in Table 1.10. This is driven by District will likely experience a tax increase, Service), property owners within the New (General Fund, Capital Projects and Debt When all major funds are considered

ТАХ РЕЯ Ноизеногр (Моитнгу)	Тах рев Ноизеногр (\$450,000 Residential) (Уимиид)	TAX KATE MEEDED	ХЕАВ
89.42\$	61.962\$	761100.0	5025
09.02\$	02.742\$	666000.0	9707
18.21\$	89'681\$	997000.0	7202
19.11\$	₹5.951\$	692000.0	8202
9E.T\$	₹88\$	725000.0	6707

## ALTERNATIVE CONSIDERATION

New District will likely result in an increase in General Fund expenses over time. the New District will be debt service related. However, the objective of building additional schools within the or eliminated. This would result in a lower tax impact in the short-term as the primary driver of the impact to of schools within each district, similar to the MGT study, then the shortfall in the General Fund may be reduced with consideration of duplicate expenses. If the majority of the General Fund is allocated based on percentage The allocation of General Fund expenditures is driven primarily by proportionate enrollment in each district,

## VIABLE CONCLUSION

district is a viable alternative to the existing school district. be in the range of \$24.67 per month declining to \$7.53 per month we are of the opinion that the new school In conclusion, noting that our analysis estimates that the cost per average household to form a new district will

facilities may be more aligned with one-another. unified in meeting the needs of students in this high growth area where voters interests in supporting new The benefit obtained in forming a new school district is more localized control and a district that may be more

